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ABSTRACT

Immobilising critically ill patients increases the prevalence of pressure ulcers, which can increase the length of a patient’s stay and rehabilitation, whilst leading to increased pain and other complications. An independent intervention of effleurage massage using essential oils is considered to be a preventative measure for integrity anoxia, which causes pressure ulcers. The purpose of this research is to identify the effect of effleurage massage using virgin coconut oil (VCO) on the risk level of pressure ulcers in the intensive care unit. Quasi-experimental one-group pre- and post-tests were performed on 34 patients through purposive sampling, using the Braden Scale. The interventions were carried out for 20 minutes on the back of the head, neck, back, glutei, sacrum, hands and feet. A dependent t-test was used in this research because it met the parametric test requirements, followed by a Repeated Measures ANOVA test. The results of the dependent t-test showed that the intervention had a significantly positive effect on pressure ulcers (p=0.0001) if effectively carried out for at least three continuous days. It was concluded that effleurage massage using VCO has a significant effect on reducing the risk level of pressure ulcers in immobilised patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients in intensive care are often critically ill and experience physiological, psychosocial, developmental, and spiritual deterioration. Critically ill patients can suffer increased pain, sleep disorders, malnutrition and reduced awareness; and their immobilisation means they are less able to change position and they therefore run the risk of developing pressure ulcers (PU) (Cox, 2011; Kozier et al., 2011).

NPUAP, EPUAP and PPPIA (2014) defined PUs as tissue injuries localised to the skin and/or underneath the tissue (usually above the bone protrusion), as a result of pressure, friction or a combination of both. The condition is caused by a lack of oxygen, nutrients, and accumulation of residual cell metabolism, which ultimately causes cell death. Kayser, VanGilder and Lachenbruch (2019) and Suheri (2009) stated that PUs most often occur three to five days after immobilisation.

The incidence of PUs in intensive care settings varies between hospitals and countries. Cox and Roche (2015) stated that intensive care unit (ICU) patients have a 12–42% higher risk of PU than other hospitalised patients. Other data show large differences ranging from 8.1% to 44% (Becker et al., 2017). Gurusinga (2015) explained that the prevalence of PU in long-term care in the United States in 2012 was relatively high at 15–25%. In Indonesia, by contrast, the prevalence of PUs in intensive care patients reached 33.33%, which was higher than all other countries in ASEAN (Utomo et al., 2012).

This condition has become a serious problem because it causes prolonged pain (due to disruption of the haemodynamic system), increased complications related to sepsis and increased mortality (2.8% of sepsis patients die as a result of PUs). It has also led to an increase in rehabilitation programmes, as well as increases in the duration and cost of care (Georgiou et al., 2015; Hyun et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2019; Sole et al., 2013; Tambajong et al., 2016; Urden et al., 2010).

Mizan, Rosa, and Yuniarti (2015) stated that nurses find it difficult to implement measures to prevent PUs. Nurse interventions, based on the recommendations of NPUAP et al. (2014), included risk level assessment, skin and tissue assessment, skincare and mechanical therapy.

The skin is the largest organ in the body. It has many complex functions and is the primary barrier that protects the body from infection. Maintaining the integrity of the skin in critical care situations is often overlooked. In line with the research conducted by Alfianti, Nurhaeni, and Eryando (2012), most critical care nurses focus on the prevention of life-threatening complications. The number of invasive actions and therapies that need to be undertaken was also a reason why skin integrity was neglected.

Some researchers recommended having a massage in order to prevent PUs (Zhang & Yue, 2015). Massages have been shown to increase circulation to the tissues and maintain skin moisture. This can prevent skin tissue anoxia, which is the main cause of PUs. Handayani, Irawati, and Panjaitan (2011) recommended that effleurage massage be performed for 4–5 minutes every day on bed-rest patients.

A massage requires a suitable essential oil in order for it be carried out effectively so that it can easily work on the body muscles (Widiyanti, 2015). Virgin coconut oil (VCO) is useful for softening the skin and accelerating its healing. VCO has a low water content (0.02–0.03%) and a low level of free fatty acids (0.02%). Additionally, VCO has been shown to have antioxidant, antiviral and antibacterial properties (Widiada et al., 2010).

A literature review has shown that VCO has benefits for skincare and has been shown to prevent PU. However, no studies have been conducted on the efficacy of effleurage massage using VCO on patients in intensive care. This study contributes to the extant research by assessing the PU risk level over a period of five consecutive days. This study also discusses risk factors for PUs such as gender, age, awareness status, blood pressure, muscle strength, Body Mass Index, respiratory support, and blood laboratory indicators. The brief description above provides a basis for conducting
research aimed at assessing the effect of effleurage massage using VCO on the risk level of PUs in ICU patients.

METHOD
A quasi-experimental design type was used, and one-group pre- and post-tests were conducted on 34 ICU patients in a Type B hospital in Cimahi, West Java, Indonesia. To minimise confounding factors, the researcher selected patients based on the established specified criteria (purposive sampling). The patients had to be more than 45 years, immobilised and have stable haemodynamics (i.e. normal heart rate, MAP, oxygen saturation levels and ECG). The patients should not be malnourished (i.e. normal albumin levels of albumin and no parenteral nutrition therapy) and should have the same daily interventions in the form of mobilisation every two hours whilst using the same anti-PU mattresses and clothing, and the patients should not have had PUs before the interventions.

Data was collected from March 13th to May 29th, 2019, and the study was conducted over a period of five days. Initial assessments were made, and informed consent was obtained on Day 1, the interventions were carried out on Days 2 to 4, and the final interventions and evaluations were carried out on Day 5. The intervention consisted of 20 minutes of effleurage massage using VCO following the protocol created for the study. Effleurage massage is performed on the mastoid bone area, back of the neck, back, glutei, sacrum, hands, and feet. Interventions were carried out twice a day after washing, and then further periodic observations were made.

Primary data was collected using the Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Score Risk and through general information and observations questionnaire. Researchers obtained permission to use the Braden Scale from the developer. The Braden Scale consists of six indicators: sensory perception, moisture, activity, mobility, nutritional status and friction, and tears. The Braden Scale has a total value of 23, with a score of >18 indicating the patient is not at risk of PUs, 15–18 indicates low risk, 13–14 indicates medium risk, 10–12 indicates high risk, and less than 10 indicates very high risk (Santos et al., 2018).

Mufarika (2012) stated that the Braden Scale has good validity at cut-off point 11, a sensitivity of 80%, a specificity of 93.3%, a positive predicting value of 92.3%, a negative predicting value of 82.4%, a false positive of 12.0, a false negative of 0.2 and an area under the receiver-operating characteristic of 0.898. Mizan et al. (2015) added reliability coefficients of 0.878 (≥ 0.6). Based on previous studies, the Braden Scale is valid and dependable.

Univariate analysis was carried out to obtain the frequency distribution and presentation of each variable. For bivariate analysis, the level of risk of PUs was determined by a dependent t-test, before being followed by a Repeated Measure ANOVA test and a post hoc Bonferroni test to assess the effectiveness of the intervention against the level of risk of PUs.

The study considered the ethical principles of autonomy, informed consent, beneficence, non-maleficence, justice, privacy and anonymity, and confidentiality. Ethical approval for this study was given by the Health Research Ethics Commission, Faculty of Medicine at the University of Padjadjaran, study no. 256/UN6.KEP/EC/2019.

RESULT
Participants’ Characteristics
A list of the participants’ characteristics is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Distribution of the participants’ characteristics, n=34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of participants</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 shows that from the 34 participants, more than half (52.9%) were female, with almost half (41.2%) aged between 60 and 74. Additionally, almost half the participants suffered from hypertension and had to use a ventilator (41.2%), almost all (91.2%) had decreased muscle awareness and strength. More than half the patients were overweight or obese (58.8%), had decreased haemoglobin (55.8%) and haematocrit levels (61.8%), and increased leukocyte levels (61.8%). The results found that none of the participants suffered from PUs either before or after the intervention.
Table 2. Distribution of the risk level of PUs before and after the interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk level of pressure ulcers</th>
<th>Pre-test</th>
<th>Post-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at risk (&gt;18)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (15–18)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate risk (13–14)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk (10–12)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high risk (&lt;9)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows that of the 34 patients in the ICU, slightly less than half (44.1%) were at very high risk of PUs before the interventions, while the number shrank to less than a quarter (17.6%) at very high risk after the interventions. Based on this, we identified a change in the frequency distribution of the risk levels of PUs in patients after the interventions.

Table 3. Distribution of changes in the risk level category of the ICU patients’ PUs both before and after the interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category change</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk level deterioration</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed risk level</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk level improvement</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that more than half of the respondents (64.7%) experienced an improvement in their risk level, meaning that either their Braden Scale scores increased or the risk level category for PUs decreased after intervention.

Effect of Effleurage Massage on the Risk Level of Pressure Ulcers

A dependent t-test was used to identify the effects of the interventions on the risk of PUs, followed by an analysis of the effectiveness of the effect pattern. The results can be seen in Table 4 and 5.

Table 4. Differences in the mean risk levels of PUs both before and after the intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk level score</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Paired Mean</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>2.444</td>
<td>-2.118</td>
<td>(-3.148 s/d -1.088)</td>
<td>-4.183</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>3.036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent t-test

The average risk level of the respondents’ PUs before intervention was 10.29, whereas after intervention the average risk level was 12.41, which means that the risk level decreased by an average of 2.118. The results of the dependent t-test at a significance level of 95% with alpha (a) 0.05 were obtained with a p-value of 0.0001. This means that effleurage massage has a significant effect on the risk level of PUs in ICU patients.

Table 5. Post hoc test results of the risk level of PUs over time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison measures</th>
<th>of periodic intervention</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.490 – 0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>-0.882</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>-1.864 – 0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>-2.147</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.516 – 0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>-2.118</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-3.640 – 0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>-1.029</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-1.786 – 0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>-2.294</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.555 – 1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>-2.265</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-3.703 – 0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>-1.265</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-2.174 – 0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End</td>
<td>-1.235</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-2.283 – 0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>End</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.294 – 0.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bonferroni

The results of the post hoc (Bonferroni) test in Table 5 show that effleurage massage produces a pattern of significant differences over time, as evidenced by the results of significance time by time <0.05. However, if the initial
examination was compared with the data after the third day of intervention, the final examination would show a difference in the average of -2.147 and -2.118, which is significant (p=0.000 and p=0.002). This shows that effleurage massage is effective if it is performed on at least three consecutive days.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the analysis show that the 34 immobilised patients in the ICU who had had effleurage massage interventions showed either an increase in their Braden Scale scores or a decrease in their PU risk levels after the interventions.

The risk factors that are thought to increase the prevalence of PU include immobilisation, inadequate nutrition, incontinence, ageing, decreased mental status and sensation, and disease. An increased risk of PU was also affected by patient dependence. Patients with higher dependence (full-time care) would have a higher risk than those with lower levels of dependence (Garcia-Fernandez et al., 2014; Kozier et al., 2011).

A study by Okatiranti, Ria, and Dini (2013) showed that 45.95% of patients needing part-time care were at risk of PU, while 44.12% of those needing full-time care were at high risk. Retrospective analysis of a study by Medeiros et al. (2018) with 180 respondents showed that risk factors for developing PUs in ICU patients include friction (OR= 5.97), a history of previous PUs (OR= 5.43), long periods in the ICU (OR= 3.92), dehydration (OR= 3.18), increased temperature (OR= 3.12) and other comorbid treatments (OR= 2.97).

Underweight patients, according to their Body Mass Index (BMI), are three times more likely to develop a PU because having less fat means that pressure is increased on the bones. However, the risk of developing PUs in patients with excess BMI is eleven times greater due to excessive sweating that can accumulate in the skin folds (Hyun et al., 2014; Ness et al., 2017).

Reviewing the impact of PU is a complex procedure, so prevention is a priority for nurses. Sihombing, Yuniarlina, and Supardi (2016) mentioned that almost 95% of PUs can be prevented through good nursing practices including skin care, mechanical prevention, and surface support, as well as educating patients and their families.

The researchers’ hypothesis stated that effleurage massage has a significant effect on the risk levels of PUs in immobilised patients in the ICU, with a p-value of 0.0001. This is in line with research conducted by Widodo et al. (2017) who obtained a p-value of 0.000 (<0.05), showing that there was a significant difference in the risk score for PUs before and after a combination of positioning, massage and health education. The hypothesis is also supported by a study conducted by Andani et al. (2016) who showed that there was a significant difference in the risk levels for PUs between patients who had had a bed massage and those that did not, with a p-value of 0.031.

A whole-body massage is a safe and effective treatment that can be given to ICU patients in order to reduce physical and psychological problems (Hetefi et al., 2015). One of the most common side-effects of the critical care environment is haemodynamic instability, which can be managed through a variety of complementary therapies, including massage therapy (Jamaati et al., 2015).

A massage is beneficial because it increases oxygen flow in the blood, facilitating the removal of metabolic waste, and it increases wellbeing due to the increase in endorphin production, as well as making the skin more radiant and textured (Shinde & Anjum, 2014; Westman & Blaisdell, 2016). A study by Setiani (2014) found that effleurage massage can increase levels of comfort and wellbeing and can minimise the occurrence of infections and PUs.

A lubricating agent should always be used during a massage in order to prevent injuries to the skin due to friction (Institute For Health Care Improvement, 2011). Handayani (2010) explained that using moisturisers when massaging dry skin is important in order to restore the skin’s natural moisture. Lechner et al. (2017) added that dry skin causes damage to the barrier function, decreasing skin
elasticity. It is important, therefore, to keep the skin moist by using either lotion or oil when massaging. Essential oils are beneficial as they protect the skin against pressure and friction, provide optimal hydration, and prevent the build-up of oxygen-deficient cells (Leir, 2010). VCO is believed to improve skin health as it is easily absorbed and protects the skin from excessive evaporation. The results of a study by Sihombing et al. (2016) showed that a back massage using VCO can reduce the risk of PUs by 80% in patients who are at significant risk, with a p-value of 0.025. A comparative test with white petroleum jelly can only reduce the risk by 68%.

VCO has a significant moisturising component, which can soften the skin and protect it from damage. In addition, it contains antioxidants as well as antimicrobial and anti-fungal agents that can protect the skin against free radicals and tissue degeneration. VCO is easily absorbed by the skin, contains vitamin E, and has a pH which is close to a commercial skin moisturiser, so it is also safe to use as a moisturiser (Kasor, 2015; Widiyanti, 2015).

Vitamin E can function as a cell membrane stabiliser and can protect skin cells from damage by free radicals and fat deposits in the organelles (Fatonah et al., 2013). This is supported by Sari (2018) who states that using VCO as a moisturiser can help skin stay young and healthy.

Intensive monitoring in hospitals needs to be undertaken to assess which patients are at risk of PUs. In this way, nurses will be able to determine the most appropriate preventative measures. Monitoring of patients is an effective way of determining whether patients are at greater or lesser risk of developing PUs.

A Repeated Measure ANOVA test gave a p-value of 0.000, which means there are significant differences between each average risk level check over time. Referring to the results of the post hoc Bonferroni test, effleurage massage produces a significant difference the risk level of PUs over time. However, if the results obtained were compared from the initial assessment until the end of the intervention, the implementation of the effleurage massage intervention was considered effective if it was performed over at least three consecutive days.

The implications for nursing, based on the results of this study, are that effleurage massage intervention using VCO is effective in reducing the prevalence of PUs in ICU patients by reducing the risk level. However, this study has also shown that a massage must be performed comprehensively and continuously.

Comprehensive implementation means that the massage interventions should be performed based on the results of a physical assessment, and should focus on skin integrity, nutritional status with regard to BMI, the patient's degree of mobilisation, and their body surface area. The results of the study can then be used in the implementation of effleurage massage using VCO, which has been shown to effectively keep the skin moist under normal conditions (soft, smooth, and elastic), with a massage being given to patients for 20 minutes, depending on their body surface area. Assessing other supporting data, such as the APACHE score and other laboratory data, and noting recommendations for replacing bed linen by the moisture indicator on the Braden Scale cannot currently be undertaken at this point, due to lack of access to a laboratory and the hospital's practice of only changing bed linen once a day.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that effleurage massage using VCO has a significant effect on reducing the risk level of developing PUs on immobilised patients in the ICU. The researchers recommend an independent complementary nursing intervention of 20 minutes of effleurage massage using VCO after bathing the patient. This is based on a comprehensive assessment of Braden Scales and can be used as institutional standard policies based on evidence-based practice for preventing PUs on bed-rest patients in the ICU.
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